

Form

Risk Assessment

Why is this important?

The identification and management of risks are central to achieving our strategic objectives. It protects us against downside risk, enables us to take risks for strategic reward, and improves our resilience against emerging risks.

Document control

This document is uncontrolled when printed or downloaded and should be discarded after use. Always source the most recent version from the White Rose *Governance Fundamentals Library* and share only the document link.

| | | |
|-------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Document title | Quick Investigation | Verbatim of above |
| Identification | FORM002 | A unique identification number: letters followed by three digits. |
| Status | Published | The lifecycle status: draft/published. |
| Version | 1 | Major number only (0=draft; 1; 2; etc) |
| Approval Date | 26 February 2026 | The date this version of the document was approved |
| Revalidation Date | 26 February 2028 | The date the document is due for review. A maximum of two years after the approval date. |
| Approver | Lee Mason | Title of the person who owns and is responsible for the document |

| Revision History | | |
|------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Revised by | Revisions/changes/comments | Date re-published |
| Lee Mason | First drafting | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |



Table of Contents

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| 1. Risk Management | 3 |
| Minimum requirements for Bowtie | 3 |
| Risk Rating Matrix | 3 |
| 2. Risk Assessment | 4 |

1. Risk Management

Minimum requirements for Bowtie

| Minimum requirements for Bowtie | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Risk Ref | Unique reference number for the risk |
| Identified | Date risk was identified. |
| Reviewed | Date of the latest review. |
| Name | Risk Name/Type |
| Risk Owner | Identify the individual(s) who own/accept the risk |
| Risk Event | The “risk” is being assessed. Gives rise to an action or condition; makes something happen, which may result in the Risk Event. |
| Risk Category | Type of risk |
| Uncontrolled Risk Rating | A, B, C, or D, assessed from the Risk Rating Matrix *(below)* |
| Mitigation Activity/Control | An actionable endeavour that protects reduces, limits, or regulates downside risk. An actionable endeavour that enables strategic reward. |
| Controlled Risk Rating | A, B, C, or D, assessed from the Risk Rating Matrix *(below)* |
| Implemented | Has the control(s) been implemented, yes or no? |

Risk Rating Matrix

Based on the likelihood and impact of each risk, place each risk into an Uncontrolled Risk Rating category:

| | | | Impact | | | |
|------------|------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| | | | Acceptable | Tolerable | Unacceptable | Intolerable |
| | | | Little or No Effect on the Business | Effects are Felt but Not Critical; do not seriously effect the Business | Serious Impact to Course of Action; causes major disruption to Business Outcome | Could result in Disaster; Business may not recover |
| Likelihood | Improbable | Risk unlikely to occur; small chance of happening | A | B | B | C |
| | Possible | Risk will likely occur; some chance of happening | A | B | C | D |
| | Probable | Risk will occur; very likely to happen | B | C | C | D |

*Uncontrolled Risk Rating = the size/measure of the Risk Event before any controls are implemented. Controls should be designed to reduce the likelihood and impact of the Risk Event (or enable the strategic reward in the case of Upside risks).

2. Risk Assessment

| Risk Ref | Identified | Review | Name | Risk Owner (name / Position) | Risk Description There is a chance that ... | Causal Factors because of ... | Consequences with the consequences ... | Risk Category | Pre-mitigation Risk Assessment | | | Mitigation Activity | Post-mitigation Risk Assessment | | | Implemented |
|----------|------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | | | | Pre Mit Likelihood | Pre Mit Impact | Pre Mit Risk Index | | Post Mit Likelihood | Post Mit Impact | Post Mit Risk Index | |
| WRLP-001 | 20-Jul-22 | 20-Jul-23 | Fraud | Samantha Mason - Director/Founder | There is a chance that WRLP will be subject to a fraudulent event | Because of: 1. Employees | With the consequence that: 1. Risk to WRLP 2. Risk to Clients 3. Certification | Human Controls | Possible | Intolerable | D | 1. Employ people we know (referrals). 2. Every employee is security/background checked. | Improbable | Unacceptable | B | Yes |
| WRLP-002 | 20-Jul-22 | 20-Jul-23 | Data Loss | Samantha Mason - Director/Founder | There is a chance that WRLP will be subject to data loss event | Because of: 1. Employees 2. Premise break-ins 3. WRLP procedures 4. Cyberattack | With the consequence that: 1. Risk to WRLP 2. Risk to Clients 3. Certification | Human/Procedural /Technical Controls | Possible | Unacceptable | C | 1. Induct, train and retain staff members and promote awareness of the consequences of data loss. Enforce complex user passwords for IT hardware and software logins. Restrict access to data-sharing websites/platforms and high-risk websites and promote authorised SaaS platforms. 2. WRLP premises to be alarmed and monitored to reduce the risk of break-ins. 3. Operate 100% paperless to prevent the risk of paper-based data loss and to ensure standardised work procedures are in place. 4. Conduct regular system and compliance audits/updates, separate guest web access network, conduct regular data security risk assessments, and enforce EndPoint protection and workstation hard-drive encryption. | Improbable | Unacceptable | B | Yes |